民國一百零二年第一學期 朱雲漢教授

上課: 星期三上午10:20~12:10 會談時間: 星期三中午12:10~1:30

東亞民主化計畫工作室（國際會議廳四樓） 電話: 23570427

教學助理：吳振嘉 < jackccwu510@gmail.com >

社會科學方法論

#### 課程介紹：

本課程之內容有五個重點：第一、當代社會科學發展的歷史；第二、當代科學哲學理論的發展；第三、當代社會科學哲學的主要理論派別，特別是「實證主義」與「後實證主義」之間的爭論；第四、「實證主義」與「後實證主義」社會科學方法論對於政治學研究的啟示；第五、從方法論角度看有關社會科學本土化的爭論。

課程目標：

這門課的目標是協助學生：（一）掌握社會科學知識體系演進的歷史；（二）理解社會科學知識建構的方法論基礎；（三）釐清各種研究途徑背後的方法論立場與基本主張；（四）增強對各種社會科學知識主張的鑑識與批判能力；（五）提高對於透視與分析社會現象的洞察能力；（六）培養學生對從事社會科學學術研究的興趣。

#### 課程要求：

上課將排定座次，從第二週開始確認座位，第三週開始助教每堂課點名。全學期上課接近全勤者（缺課不超過三次），以及期末筆試達到四十五分以上者，學期成績以六十分為底分。在每一堂上課結束後，講授大綱將按進度在系網頁上公佈供同學下載，修課同學必須詳讀大綱並設法充分理解。本課程將不舉行期中考，只舉行期末考。

#### 課程指定讀物:

1. Mark Smith. 1998. *Social Science in Question*. London: Sage Publications (吳翠松翻譯. *社會科學概說：方法論的探索* 台北：偉伯文化事業出版社, 2004)

特別推薦網上資源

1. Centre for Philosophy of Natural and Social Science (<http://www.lse.ac.uk/collections/CPNSS/>)
2. Max Planck Institute for the History of Science, Berlin（<http://www.mpiwg-berlin.mpg.de/en/index.html>）
3. Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (<http://plato.stanford.edu/>)
4. The Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy (IEP) (<http://www.iep.utm.edu/soc-sci/>)
5. The Web Site for Critical Realism (WSCR) (<http://www.raggedclaws.com/criticalrealism/>)
6. Oxford Handbook On-line (<http://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199286546.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199286546-e-1>)

特別推薦讀物

1. James Ladyman. 2002. Understanding Philosophy of Science. London: Routledge.
2. Peter Manicas, 1987. *A History and Philosophy of the Social Sciences*, London: Basil Blackwell.
3. Peter Manicas, 2006. *A Realist Philosophy of Social Science: Explanation and Understanding*, Cambridge University Press.
4. William Outhwaite. 1987. *New Philosophies of Social Science*. London: MacMillan.
5. Andrew Sayer. 2000. *Realism and Social Science*. London: Sage Publications.
6. David Collier and Henry Brady. 2004. *Rethinking Social Inquiry: Diverse Tools, Shared Standards* Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Inc.
7. Andrew Collier. 1994. *Critical Realism: An Introduction to Roy Bhaskar's Philosophy*. London: Verso.
8. David Marsh and Gerry Stoker. 1995.（陳蒨雯等譯）*政治學方法論* *Theory and Methods in Political Science* 台北：韋伯文化事業出版社。
9. 朱雲漢、王紹光、趙全勝主編，2002. *華人社會政治學本土化研究的理論與實踐* 台北：桂冠圖書公司
10. 反思會議工作小組/著. 2005. *全球化與知識生產：反思台灣學術評鑑*. 台研季刊社
11. James Mahoney and Dietrich Rueschemeyer eds., *Comparative Historical Analysis in the Social Sciences* (Cambridge University Press, 2003)
12. Gary King, *Designing Social Inquiry: Scientific Inference in Qualitative Research* (Princeton University Press, 1994)
13. John Gerring, *Social Science Methodology: A Unified Framework* 2Nd Edition (Cambridge University Press, 2012 )

#### 推薦讀物：

1. John Losee, 2003. Theories of Scientific Progress: An Introduction, Routledge.
2. Jon Elster, 2007. *Explaining Social Behavior: More Nuts and Bolts for the Social Sciences.* Cambridge University Press.
3. 蔡勇美、廖培珊、林南，2007. *社會學研究方法* 台北：唐山。
4. 石之瑜 *政治學的知識脈絡*（台北：五南圖書，2001）
5. 葉啟正 *進出「結構─行動」的困境* （台北：三民書局, 2000）
6. Kristen Renwick Monroem ed, 2005. *Perestroika!: The Raucous Rebellion in Political Science.* Yale University Press.
7. Robert Klee, 1997. *Introduction to the Philosophy of Science: Cutting Nature at Its Seams*. Oxford University Press.
8. Robert Klee, ed. 1998. *Scientific Inquiry: Readings in the Philosophy of Science*. Oxford University Press.
9. Andrew Sayer, 1992. *Method in Social Science: A Realist Approach*, London: Routledge.
10. Anthony Giddens. 1984. *The Constitution of Society*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
11. P. Wagner, B. Wittrock, and R. Whiteley eds., *Sociology of the Science – A Yearbook. Vol. 15. Discourses on Society: The Shaping of the Social Sciences*, Dordretch: Kluwer, 1991.
12. Andre Kukla. 2000. *Social Constructivism and the Philosophy of Science*. Rouledge: London and New York.
13. Alexander L. George and Andrew Bennett, *Case Studies and Theory Development in the Social Sciences* (The MIT Press, 2005)
14. Noretta Koertge, “New Age” Philosophies of Science: Constructivism, Feminism and Post-Modernism. *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 51 (2000), 667-683.

課程內容大綱

O. Orientation

 1. The scope and objectives of the course

 2. The plan for the semester

 3. Course requirements and the final exam

4. The 2008 financial meltdown: a crisis of social science methodology?

Paul Krugman, “How Did Economists Get It So Wrong?”, *New York Times Magazine*, September 6, 2009 ( <http://www.nytimes.com/2009/09/06/magazine/06Economic-t.html?_r=1&em=&pagewanted=print>)

John Kay, “Economics may be dismal, but it is not a science,” *Financial Times*, August 26, 2011. <http://www.ft.com/intl/cms/s/0/19491372-472c-11df-b253-00144feab49a.html#axzz1XoATh7KV>

Jonathan Cohn, “Irrational Exuberance: When did political science forget about politics?”,

*The New Republic*, October 25, 1999 (<http://www.wellesley.edu/Polisci/wj/100/cohn.html>)

I. Introduction

1. What is science?
2. Images of science: product, method and social activities
3. Philosophy of science as epistemology and metaphysics: the “demarcation” problem
4. What philosophy of science is not about: its relations to history, psychology and sociology of science
5. Natural science and social sciences: naturalism and anti-naturalism

閱讀進度：

James Ladyman. 2002. *Understanding Philosophy of Science*: Introduction.

II. The Historical Development of Modern Science and Social Sciences

1. The rise of modern science: demarcation of science and philosophy
2. The role of science in modern society: modern science, modern state and capitalist development
3. The genesis of modern social sciences.
4. The role of social sciences in modern societies.

閱讀進度：「社會科學概說」:第一章、第二章

III. Great Debates in Philosophy of Science

1. Induction and Inductivism
2. Logic-Positivism and Popper’s Falsificationism (<http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/popper/>)
3. The Kuhnian revolution: the revenge of Historicism

(<http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/thomas-kuhn/>)

1. The post-Kuhnian debates
2. The Social Constructivist challenge (<http://www.coe.uga.edu/epltt/SocialConstructivism.htm>)
3. The reconstruction of a rational account of scientific progress: Scientific Realism(<http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/scientific-realism/>)
4. Further reflection on theories of scientific progress

閱讀進度：

*Understanding Philosophy of Science*: Chapters 2, 3, 4 and 5

「社會科學概說」：第三章

IV. Different Views of Social Science as a Science

1. The scientific movements in social science
2. Great debates in philosophy of social science
3. Possibility of Naturalism:

Logical Positivist View (<http://murzim.net/LP/LP00.html>)

Scientific Realist View (<http://psychcentral.com/psypsych/Roy_Bhaskar>)

1. Impossibility of Naturalism:

Hermeneutic View

Post-Modern Varieties of Post-Positivism (<http://www.indiana.edu/~koertge/NewAgePoS.pdf>)

閱讀進度：

Andrew Sayer. 2000. *Realism and Social Science*: Introduction and Chapter 1.

「社會科學概說」：第四章、第五章

V. Implications for Political Science

1. The scientific movement of 1950s and 1960s
2. The revival of political economy in 1980s
3. The institutionalist turn of 1990s
4. The return of culture at the turn of the century
5. The triumph of EITM (<http://www.isr.umich.edu/cps/eitm/eitm2006/>)
6. The “perestroika” storm (<http://chronicle.com/free/v48/i04/04a01601.htm>)

(<http://www.nuff.ox.ac.uk/users/Kayser/TNR%20Cohn.pdf>)

(<http://privatewww.essex.ac.uk/~todd/rebutting%20perestroika.pdf>)

閱讀進度：

「政治學方法論」：緒論

Sanford Schram, 2003 “Return to Politics: Perestroika and Postparadigmatic Political Science”. *Political Theory* (December 2003)

VII. The Issue of Indigenization

1. The Americanization of social sciences
2. The structural dependency of social sciences in East Asia
3. The case for indigenization
4. The development and prospect of social sciences in Taiwan

閱讀進度：

 「華人社會政治學本土化研究的理論與實踐」：pp. 3-82

陳光興、錢永祥. 2005 「新自由主義全球化之下的學術生產」([www.hss.nthu.edu.tw/~apcs/pages/act/2004-9-25-01.doc](http://www.hss.nthu.edu.tw/~apcs/pages/act/2004-9-25-01.doc))

秦亞青 2006. 「國際關係理論中國學派生成的可能和必然」：《世界經濟與政治》2006年第3期

（<http://www.tecn.cn/data/detail.php?id=9329>）